A Radiative Model of Quark Masses with Binary Tetrahedral Symmetry

Alexander Natale[1]

Korea Institute for Advanced Study (KIAS),
Seoul 02455, Republic of Korea

arXiv:1608.06999v3 [hep-ph] 17 Nov 2016

A radiative model of quark and lepton masses utilizing the binary tetrahedral (T') flavor symmetry, or horizontal symmetry, is proposed which produces the first two generation of quark masses through their interactions with vector-like quarks that carry charges under an additional $U(1)$. By softly-breaking the T' to a residual Z_4 through the vector-like quark masses, a CKM mixing angle close to the Cabibbo angle is produced. In order to generate the cobimaximal neutrino oscillation pattern ($\theta_{13} \neq 0, \theta_{23} = \pi/4, \delta_{CP} = \pm\pi/2$) and protect the horizontal symmetry from arbitrary corrections in the lepton sector, there are automatically two stabilizing symmetries in the dark sector. Several benchmark cases where the correct relic density is achieved in a multi-component DM scenario, as well as the potential collider signatures of the vector-like quarks are discussed.

[1] alexnatale@kias.re.kr

1 Introduction

Neutrino mass and oscillation are thoroughly established experimentally [1–9], as is the strong evidence for cosmological dark matter (DM) [10–12]; both are widely considered the best evidence for physics beyond the Standard Model (BSM), particularly given the robustness of the Standard Model (SM) at explaining the 2016 Run at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC). Neutrino mass, and subsequent oscillation, pose an interesting set of questions: why is there such a large gap in the scale of neutrino mass relative to the rest of the fermion masses in the SM, and why is the neutrino oscillation the way that it is — comparitivaley large angles relative to the Cabibbo–Kobayashi–Maskawa (CKM) matrix? A general framework, proposed in 2006, tries to solve these issues by generating neutrino mass radiatively through the interactions of neutrinos with DM at the one-loop level [13]. While this proposal was not the first model of radiative neutrino mass [14], or the first model that completed the loops with DM [15], these so-called scotogenic, or Ma, models provide a comparitively simple way to connect neutrino mass and DM with a single Higgs at the one-loop level. These Ma models have also been extended to explain lepton and quark mass [16], which yields interesting signatures at colliders [17–19]. Recently, there has been interest in extending such radiative models through the addition of vector-like fermions, and in particular vector-like quarks [20, 21]. Additionally, a program utilizing various non-Abelian discrete flavor symmetries, or horizontal symmetries, has been pursued to explain the particular pattern of neutrino oscillation (see Refs. [22, 23] for reviews). The measurement of $\theta_{13} \neq 0$ [24, 25], and the observation of a 125 GeV Higgs-like boson [26, 27], disfavors many minimal models of horizontal symmetries that seek to explain the structure of the Pontecorvo–Maki–Nakagawa–Sakata (PMNS) matrix. However, a recent proposal for a modified scotogenic model of neutrino mass with a tetrahedral (A_4) horizontal symmetry [28] is able to support the so-called co-bimaximal mixing pattern as a genuine prediction where $\theta_{13} \neq 0$, $\delta_{CP} = \pm\frac{\pi}{2}$, and θ_{23} is maximal. While θ_{23} being

maximal is disfavored by NOνA at the 2.5 σ level [29], the maximal value of θ_{23} is still consistent with most of the neutrino oscillation data [30–32] and so a co-bimaximal mixing pattern is still well supported by the data. This extension of the Ma model has interesting features: the addition of vector-like fermions increases potential LHC signatures, and the model has the potential for multiple components of cosmological DM [28]. Additionally, any model that utilizes the A_4 symmetry could just as easily utilize the double cover known as the binary tetrahedral group, or simply T'. Frequently, models that utilize a horizontal symmetry to explain lepton mixing produce a CKM mixing matrix which is diagonal in the symmetry basis (for instance Refs. [28, 33–35] are models with horizontal symmetries that are either agnostic about quark mixing or assume a diagonal CKM), however flavor symmetries have been used to explain the Cabibbo angle [36, 37] and in particular an angle close to the physical Cabibbo angle can be produced by utilizing the doublet representations of T' [38–49], however this flavor symmetry has never been studied before in the context of a scotogenic, or Ma model. In this paper, a model of radiative lepton and quark masses with a T' horizontal symmetry is proposed. By using the T' symmetry and using vector-like quarks to complete the quark mass loops, an angle close to the Cabibbo angle is produced. The model is based on the soft co-bimaximal A_4 model from Ref. [28], however the $U(1)_D$ is modified (most notably) where the first two generations of quarks are chiral under this 'dark' gauge. The expanded particle content required to cancel anomalies yields interesting collider signatures, and it is found that the model can support multi-component DM with several distinct cases.

2 The Model

The particle content for the current proposal is listed in Table 1, and is based on the recent proposal for cobimaximal A_4 neutrino mixing which generates charged lepton and neutrino mass from the loops shown in Fig. 1 [28]. The SM gauge group $(SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y)$ is expanded with a dark gauge $U(1)_D$, and there are several additional discrete symmetries:

a dark Z_2, a softly broken flavor Z_2, and the horizontal symmetry T'. The 'flavor' Z_2 forbids tree level masses for the charged leptons, whereas the addition of two dark symmetries (Z_2 and $U(1)_D$) as well as a horizontal symmetry allows for the generation of neutrino and lepton masses through the loops from Ref. [28] as discussed below. The non-Abelian discrete symmetry T' (also known as the binary tetrahedral group) is the double cover of A_4, and has many of the same mulitplication rules as A_4 namely [50, 51]

$$\mathbf{3} \otimes \mathbf{3} = \mathbf{1_0} \oplus \mathbf{1_1} \oplus \mathbf{1_2} \oplus \mathbf{3} \oplus \mathbf{3}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\mathbf{1_i} \otimes \mathbf{1_j} = \mathbf{1_{i+j}}, \tag{2.2}$$

however T' has three doublet representations ($\mathbf{2_0}$, $\mathbf{2_1}$, $\mathbf{2_2}$) [50, 51]:

$$\mathbf{2_i} \otimes \mathbf{2_j} = \mathbf{1_{i+j}} \oplus \mathbf{3}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\mathbf{2_i} \otimes \mathbf{3} = \mathbf{2_i} \oplus \mathbf{2_{i+1}} \oplus \mathbf{2_{i+2}}, \tag{2.4}$$

where $i, j = 0, 1, 2$ *mod* 3. In addition to the particle content from Ref. [28] there is another scalar doublet (ρ), a non-trivial T' scalar singlet (σ), up-like ($\mathcal{U}$) and down-like ($\mathcal{D}$) vector-like quarks which are T' doublets, and up-like and down-like T' singlets ($\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{B}$) which are chiral under $U(1)_D$. The color-charged particles $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are added to complete the loop in Fig. 2 in order to radiatively generate the first two generations of quark masses. Note that these vector-like quarks have dark charge and are also odd under the additional dark Z_2, whereas the particles introduced to cancel anomalies carry the softly broken flavor Z_2. The scalar singlets ζ_1 and ζ_2, which have integer dark charges, are a T' triplet and trivial singlet respectively and both receive non-zero vacuum expectation values (VEVs), thus spontaneously breaking $U(1)_D$ to a residual Z_2, in which particles with half-integer charges are odd under the residual symmetry and all other particles are even. Even though the right-handed (RH) quarks carry dark charge while the rest of the SM does not, the proposed model is anomaly free. The $[SU(2)]^2U(1)_D$ anomaly is zero since the left-handed

(LH) quarks and leptons do not carry dark charge, the $[U(1)_Y]^2U(1)_D$ anomaly is canceled by the contribution from $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{B}$:

$$SM: \qquad 2\times 3\left(\tfrac{2}{3}\right)^2(1)+2\times 3\left(\tfrac{-1}{3}\right)^2(-1)=2 \tag{2.5}$$

$$\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{B}: 3\left(\tfrac{2}{3}\right)^2\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)-3\left(\tfrac{2}{3}\right)^2\left(\tfrac{3}{2}\right)+3\left(-\tfrac{1}{3}\right)^2\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right)-3\left(\tfrac{-1}{3}\right)^2\left(-\tfrac{3}{2}\right)=-2. \tag{2.6}$$

While the $U(1)_Y[U(1)_D]^2$ anomaly is also canceled by the $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ contributions:

$$SM: \qquad 2\times 3\left(\tfrac{2}{3}\right)(1)^2+2\times 3\left(\tfrac{-1}{3}\right)(-1)^2=2 \tag{2.7}$$

$$\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{B}: 3\left(\tfrac{2}{3}\right)\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)^2-3\left(\tfrac{2}{3}\right)\left(\tfrac{3}{2}\right)^2+3\left(-\tfrac{1}{3}\right)\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right)^2-3\left(\tfrac{-1}{3}\right)\left(-\tfrac{3}{2}\right)^2=-2. \tag{2.8}$$

That is, the $U(1)_D$ anomalies are canceled between the two light generations of q_R and the chiral anomaly coming from $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ in analogy to the $U(1)_{R12}$ model [52]. The $[SU(3)_C]^2U(1)_D$ and mixed gravitational anomalies are canceled between $\mathcal{C}_R-\mathcal{S}_R$ and $\mathcal{T}-\mathcal{B}$ separately. Note that the charge assignment required to cancel the $U(1)_D$ anomaly means that the ζ_2 scalar must gain a VEV in order for these dark chiral vector-like quarks to gain a mass, and since these particles carry color-charge it is important that v_2 is relatively large so that these particles can evade existing collider constraints on vector-like quarks.

The mixing terms between scalars are highly restricted from the dark symmetries and the horizontal symmetry such that the scalar potential terms for the fields that do not receive VEVs are generally of the form

$$V_{\phi_i}=\mu_i^2\phi_i^\dagger\phi_i+\lambda_i|\phi_i^\dagger\phi_i|^2+\lambda_{H\phi_i}|\Phi^\dagger\phi_i|^2+\lambda_{\zeta_1\phi_i}|\phi_i^\dagger\zeta_1|^2+\lambda_{\zeta_2\phi_i}|\phi_i^\dagger\zeta_2|^2, \tag{2.9}$$

along with quartic interaction terms between each scalar field of the form $\lambda_{\phi_i\phi_j}|\phi_i^\dagger\phi_j|^2$, where $\phi_i\neq\Phi,\zeta_1,\zeta_2$, and where the structure of $\lambda_{\phi_i\phi_j}$ coefficients is fixed by the T' assignment. The mixing terms between the scalars that do not fit this pattern are $\lambda_l\widetilde{\eta}^\dagger\Phi\chi^-$ and $\lambda_q\rho^\dagger\Phi\sigma\zeta_1$ which allow for the generation of quark and lepton masses, where the λ_l term softly breaks the non-dark Z_2, and the $\lambda_D\rho^\dagger\eta s\zeta_1$ term which allows for potentially interesting DM phenomenology but is not of particular relevance for this study.

Table 1: Particle Content

Particles	$SU(3)_C$	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_Y$	$U(1)_D$	dark Z_2	T'	Z_2
SM Particles:							
$(\nu, l)_L$	1	2	-1/2	0	+	3	+
l_R	1	1	-1	0	+	3	-
Φ	1	2	1/2	0	+	1_0	+
$\mathcal{Q}_L = \begin{pmatrix} (c,s)_L \\ (u,d)_L \end{pmatrix}$	3	2	1/6	0	+	2_0	+
$\mathcal{C}_R = (c_R, u_R)$	3	1	2/3	1	+	2_2	+
$\mathcal{S}_R = (s_R, d_R)$	3	1	-1/3	-1	+	2_1	+
$(t,b)_L$	3	2	1/6	0	+	1_0	+
t_R	3	1	2/3	0	+	1_0	+
b_R	3	1	-1/3	0	+	1_0	+
Fermions:							
$N_{L,R}$	1	1	0	1/2	+	3	+
$E_{L,R}$	1	2	1/2	0	-	1_0	+
F_L^0	1	1	0	0	-	1_0	+
$\mathcal{U}_{L,R}$	3	1	2/3	1/2	-	2_0	+
$\mathcal{D}_{L,R}$	3	1	-1/3	-1/2	-	2_2	+
$\mathcal{T}_L$	3	1	2/3	-1/2	+	1_0	-
$\mathcal{T}_R$	3	1	2/3	3/2	+	1_0	-
$\mathcal{B}_L$	3	1	-1/3	1/2	+	1_0	-
$\mathcal{B}_R$	3	1	-1/3	-3/2	+	1_0	-
Scalars:							
η	1	2	1/2	-1/2	+	1_0	+
χ^+	1	1	1	-1/2	+	1_0	-
s	1	1	0	0	-	3	+
ρ	1	2	1/2	1/2	-	3	+
σ^0	1	1	0	-1/2	-	1_2	+
ζ_1^0	1	1	0	1	+	3	+
ζ_2^0	1	1	0	2	+	1_0	+

2.1 Lepton Masses

The charged and neutral lepton masses are generated through the loops shown in Fig. 1, where the 'flavor' Z_2 symmetry is softly-broken by the trilinear scalar term. Note that this is the mechanism used to generate the lepton masses in Ref. [28], however the horizontal symmetry is T' instead of A_4, and the charge assignment under $U(1)_D$ is chosen to be half-

integer values in analogue to Ref. [53], though these changes do not change the predictions for lepton mixing. Since the leptonic sector only uses T' singlets and triplets the mixing pattern is identical to a model utilizing just A_4 [28], thus the binary tetrahedral model predicts the so-called cobimaximal neutrino mixing pattern ($\theta_{13} \neq 0, \theta_{23} = \pi/4, \delta_{CP} = \pm\pi/2$). The correct neutrino mass matrix is generated by the soft-breaking of the T' triplet representations to Z_3 via the $\overline{N}_L N_R$ masses and to Z_2 via $s_1 s_2$ terms as discussed in Ref. [28] and resulting masses for the leptons are [53]

$$m_l = \frac{f_{lL} f_{lR} \sin(\theta_x)\cos(\theta_x) m_N}{16\pi^2} \left(F(X_1) - F(X_2)\right), \tag{2.10}$$

where $F(X_i) = X_i \log(X_i)/(X_i - 1)$, where $X_{1,2} = m^2_{x_{1,2}}/m^2_N$ and $m_{x_{1,2}}$ are the scalar masses resulting from the $\lambda_l \widetilde{\eta}^\dagger \Phi \chi$ mixing where $\tan(2\theta_x) = \frac{2v\lambda_l}{m^2_\chi - m^2_\eta}$. The neutrino masses are given by [28]

$$m_\nu = \frac{f_s^2 m_D^2 m_F}{16\pi^2 (m_F^2 - m_s^2)} \left(G(x_f) - G(x_s)\right), \tag{2.11}$$

where $G(x) = \frac{x}{1-x} + \frac{x^2 \log(x)}{(1-x)^2}$, where $x_F = m_F^2/m_E^2$, $x_s = m_s^2/m_E^2$ and m_F and m_E are the vector-like fermion masses and m_D is the $E-F$ mixing which is assumed to be small [28, 54]. The importance of this mechanism is that the 'clashing' symmetry of the charged and neutral leptons that predicts co-bimaximal mixing ($Z_3 \times Z_2$) will generically have arbitrary radiative corrections in the scalar sector if $s_i s_j$ terms break T' to Z_2 and the scalars generating charged lepton mass break T' to Z_3, as this forces Z_3 breaking counter-terms to be introduced [28]. However by having the dark symmetries (Z_2 and $U(1)_D$), and allowing the fermions (N_i) to softly break T' instead of scalars, these arbitrary corrections are prevented [28].

While this neutrino mixing pattern predicts the maximal θ_{23}, it is possible that slight perturbations or corrections can move this prediction slightly away from this value, though the correlations between mixing angles that are produced from the horizontal symmetry will generally restrict any such deviation similar to the results in Ref. [54]. It is also important to note that scotogenic mechanisms for charged leptons and quarks in general modifies

the measured Higgs branching ratios, which has been studied in detail in the context of scotogenic models [55] and have potential important consequences for muon $g-2$ [20, 21], though such studies are beyond the scope of this work.



Figure 1: One-loop neutrino and lepton masses generated with minimal modification to the mechanism in Ref. [28].

2.2 Quark Masses



Figure 2: One-loop quark masses for the first two generations of quark masses where $\mathcal{V}$ are the corresponding vector-like quarks ($\mathcal{U}$,$\mathcal{D}$).

The loop for the generation of the u, d, c, and s quark masses is shown in Fig. 2, where the loop is completed by the T' triplet scalar doublet ρ and the non-trivial T' singlet scalar σ^0, and the T' symmetry is softly-broken by the vector-like quark masses which are

generated by dimension three terms. The mass matrix for ρ is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_\rho = \begin{pmatrix} A & B & B \\ B & A & B \\ B & B & A \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.12}$$

where A is a combination of v^2,v_1^2, and v_2^2 and B is proportional to just v_1^2. This mass matrix is exactly diagonalized by the tribimaximal mixing matrix:

$$U_{TB} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2/3} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 0 \\ -1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ -1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.13}$$

since B only depends on v_1^2 then it is a reasonable assumption that if $v_2 > v_1$ that the resulting masses for ρ are nearly degenerate. The quark mass loop is completed by the $\lambda_q \Phi^\dagger \rho \sigma \zeta_1^\star$ term, so the mixing is relatively small. Given the assumption that the $\mathcal{M}_\rho$ masses are nearly degenerate after rotating with the tribimaximal matrix, then the mass matrix that spans the $\rho - \sigma$ states, $\mathcal{M}_{\rho\sigma}$, is of the form

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rho\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} m_\rho^2 & \lambda_q v_\Phi v_\zeta \\ \lambda_q v_\Phi v_\zeta & m_\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.14}$$

where the resulting mass states of $\mathcal{M}_{\rho\sigma}$ are labeled as $y_{1,2}$. The quark mass matrix is thus given by

$$\mathcal{M}_q = \frac{f_{qL} f_{qR} \sin(\theta_y)\cos(\theta_y)}{32\pi^2} \mathcal{I}_q, \tag{2.15}$$

where $\tan(2\theta_y) = \frac{2\lambda_q v v_1}{m_\sigma^2 - m_\rho^2}$ and $\mathcal{I}_q$ is a 2x2 matrix where the flavor structure and the loop calculations has been taken into account. Specifically, for the up-like quarks $\mathcal{I}_q$ is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} -(\mathcal{F}[X_1]\cos(\theta_\mathcal{V})^2 + \mathcal{F}[X_2]\sin(\theta_\mathcal{V})^2) & (\mathcal{F}[X_1] - \mathcal{F}[X_2])\sin(2\theta_\mathcal{V}) \\ -(\mathcal{F}[X_1] - \mathcal{F}[X_2])\sin(2\theta_\mathcal{V}) & \mathcal{F}[X_1]\sin(\theta_\mathcal{V})^2 + \mathcal{F}[X_2]\cos(\theta_\mathcal{V})^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.16}$$

with $\mathcal{F}[X_i] = F[X_{i1}] - F[X_{i2}]$, and $F[X_{ij}] = m_{\mathcal{V}_i} X_{ij} \log(X_{ij})/(X_{ij} - 1)$, $X_{ij} = m_{y_j}^2/m_{\mathcal{V}_i}^2$, and $\theta_\mathcal{V}$ is the mixing angle that diagonalizes $\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{V}$ (the vector-like quark mass matrix), and for the down-like quarks $\mathcal{I}_q$ is

$$\sqrt{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{F}[X_1]\cos^2(\theta_\mathcal{V}) + \mathcal{F}[X_2]\sin^2(\theta_\mathcal{V}) \\ 0 & (\mathcal{F}[X_1] - \mathcal{F}[X_2])\cos(\theta_\mathcal{V})\sin(\theta_\mathcal{V}) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.17}$$

where the miss-match is because of the different transformations of the vector-like quarks $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ under the horizontal symmetry (T'). The quark mass matrix squared, $\mid \mathcal{M} \mid^2$, is diagonalized by a 2x2 rotation matrix where the angle is a function of $\theta_{\mathcal{V}}$. The resulting CKM matrix is the miss-match between the up-like and down-like sectors. The T' symmetry is softly broken by dimension three terms of $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_L \mathcal{V}_R$ of the form

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{U},\mathcal{D}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m_{11}+m_{33}+2m_{13}}{4} & \frac{i(m_{11}-m_{33})}{4} \\ \frac{-i(m_{11}-m_{33})}{4} & \frac{m_{11}+m_{33}-2m_{13}}{4} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.18}$$

which is protected by a residual Z_4 symmetry where

$$\mathcal{V}_1 = (\mathcal{V}_{1_0} + \mathcal{V}_{1_3})/2, \tag{2.19}$$

and

$$\mathcal{V}_2 = -i(\mathcal{V}_{1_1} - \mathcal{V}_{1_3})/2, \tag{2.20}$$

where $\mathcal{V}_{1_1}$, and $\mathcal{V}_{1_3}$ are the Z_4 flavor states transforming as non-trivial singlets $\mathbf{1_1}$ and $\mathbf{1_3}$ respectively (where $\mathbf{1_0}$ is the trivial singlet) and where $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_{1^i} \mathcal{V}_{1^j} = m_{ij}$ and $m_{13} = m_{31}$. Note that this basis where Eq. 2.18 has complex off-diagonals can be rotated in such a way where in the T' limit the mass matrix is given by equal diagonal values. In this basis, the soft-breaking matrix can be parameterized assuming $m_{33} = m_{11} + \delta$ which yields

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2m_{11} + \delta + 2m_{13} & \delta \\ \delta & 2m_{11} + \delta - 2m_{13} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.21}$$

if $m_{13} \ll 1$ and $\delta \propto m_{13}$ then the deviation from the T' symmetric mass matrix is small. It is convenient to parameterize the soft-breaking matrix in terms of the mass eigenstate m_{11} such that $m_{13} = \epsilon m_{11}$. The rotation that diagonalizes the vector-like quarks is the same for up-like and down-like $\mathcal{V}'s$, but the differing textures of $\mathcal{M}_q$ produce different dependence on $\theta_{\mathcal{V}}$ for the V_L^q that diagonalizes $\mid \mathcal{M} \mid^2$. For the up-like sector the angle $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}$ is simply $\tan(2\theta_{\mathcal{U}}) = \delta/(2m_{13})$, whereas for the down-like sector is approximately $\tan(2\theta_{\mathcal{D}}) = \delta\epsilon/(2m_{13})$. The resulting Cabibbo angle as a function of δ/m_{13}, and for various $\epsilon \leq 1/2$, are plotted in Fig. 3. While the exact Cabibbo angle can only be fit for very specific choices

of the soft-breaking terms, a variation on the order of 30% from the physical value can be fit in a much wider parameter space. It is important to note that in order to fit all of



Figure 3: The first-order value of CKM mixing angle $\frac{|V_{us}|}{|V_{ud}|}$ in degrees as a function of the T' soft-breaking terms δ/m_{13}. Banded region represents the physical Cabibbo angle (θ_c) $\pm 30\%, 20\%, 10\%$, and 5σ.

the parameters of the physical V_{CKM} it is well known that a perturbation to this texture from running effects or some higher level loop contributions must exist [37], or even simply additional T' doublets at a higher mass scale. However if the predicted mixing angle, θ_c, is within 15 percent of the physical Cabibbo angle then the relative difference between θ_c and the physical Cabibbo angle is the same size as the next largest mixing angle in the CKM; in comparison to the implementation of quark mixing in Ref. [28], the CKM after soft-breaking of the horizontal symmetry is still approximately diagonal and thus the deviation from the physical values is quantitatively smaller in this Binary Tetrahedral model.

For a proof of concept of how this model could accomodate a fully realistic CKM, consider the two-loop mixing of the first generations with t as shown in Fig. 4, where $\xi_0^{\pm} \sim (0, \mathbf{2_i}, -, -)$, $\xi_{1/2}^{\pm} \sim (1/2, \mathbf{2_j}, +, -)$, and $\xi_1^0 \sim (1, \mathbf{2_k}, +, +)$ under $(U(1)_D, T'$,dark Z_2,$Z_2)$, and ξ_1^0 receives a non-zero VEV and each new scalar is a singlet under $SU(2)$. This adds additional complications to the scalar sector, however ξ_1^0 is a T' doublet and only couples to the other scalars via quartic terms, and so these additional scalars will not

contribute to the Higgs mass directly if each component of the T' doublet receive the same VEV. This consequence is easiest to determine in the Ma−Rajasekaran basis from Ref. [51]; in this basis $\overline{\xi_1^0}\xi_1^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\overline{\xi_{11}^0}\xi_{12}^0 - \overline{\xi_{12}^0}\xi_{11}^0)$, where ξ_{1i}^0 is the ith component of the T' doublet and where $\langle\xi_{11}^0\rangle = \langle\xi_{12}^0\rangle \neq 0$. This ultimately leads to only trilinear terms between the physical degrees of freedom of ξ_{1i}^0 and Φ (after taking into account additional scalar mixing terms between ξ_1^0 and ζ_1) but has no contributions to the SM-like Higgs boson mass, regardless of which of the distinct T' doublet representations are chosen for ξ, and so there are no clearly problematic contributions to flavor physics from these new scalars. There is non-trivial $\xi_1^0 - \zeta_1$ mixing as the multiplication rules of T' ($\mathbf{2_i} \otimes \mathbf{2_j} = \mathbf{3}$) generate the appropriate terms in the scalar potential which are not eliminated after the new constraint equations are taken into account. In the mass matrix spanned by the scalar degrees of freedom, these mixing terms will contribute to $\zeta_1 - \xi_1^0 - \zeta_2$ and $Im(\zeta_1) - Im(\xi_1^0)$ mixing, but the details of this mixing and the proper prediction of the CKM matrix depend on the exact choice of T' representations chosen for the ξ scalars. These additional scalars will alter the mass matrices for the first two generations of quarks in order to generate the proper CKM, thus the physical psuedoscalar couplings and masses should be correlated to the perturbation of the one-loop quark mixing angles. These scalars also allow new interactions for the vector-like quarks, however if m_ξ is large these new decay channels may be suppressed at the LHC. In addition, these new scalars could be treated as mediators for the dark sector if the lightest scalar resulting from the $\sigma^0 - \rho$ mixing is the DM candidate for the sector that carries charge under the additional $U(1)$ gauge group.

2.3 Scalar Sector

There are three scalars with integer charges under the $U(1)_D$ gauge symmetry, where Φ breaks $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ just as the Higgs field in the SM, where the VEVs of the scalars charged under $U(1)_D$ ($\langle\zeta_1 i\rangle = v_{1i}$ and $\langle\zeta_2\rangle = v_2$) break the dark gauge to a residual Z_2 dark parity, where particles with half-integer charges have odd parity and all others have



Figure 4: Example of a two-loop extension to generate mixing between the first two generations of quarks and the top quark, where $\xi^{\pm}_0 \sim (0, \mathbf{2_i}, -, -)$, $\xi^{\pm}_{1/2} \sim (1/2, \mathbf{2_j}, +, -)$, and $\xi^0_1 \sim (1, \mathbf{2_k}, +, +)$ under $(U(1)_D, T'$, dark Z_2, $Z_2)$, and ξ^0_1 receives a non-zero VEV.

even parity. The scalar potential relevant to the symmetry breaking is

$$\begin{aligned} V = {} & \mu_H^2 \Phi^\dagger \Phi + \frac{\lambda_H}{2}(\Phi^\dagger \Phi)^2 + \mu_1^2 \sum_i \zeta^\star_{1i}\zeta_{1i} + \frac{\lambda_{1_0}}{2}(\zeta^\star_{1i}\zeta_{1i})^2 \\ & + \lambda_{1_1}(\sum_i \omega^{2(i-1)}\zeta^\star_{1i}\zeta_{1i})(\sum_i \omega^{i-1}\zeta^\star_{1i}\zeta_{1i}) + \lambda_{13}(\sum_i \zeta^\star_{1(i+2)}\zeta_{1i}\zeta^\star_{1i}\zeta_{1(i+2)}) \\ & + \frac{\lambda_{13'}}{2}((\zeta^\star_2\zeta_3)^2 + (\zeta^\star_3\zeta_1)^2 + (\zeta^\star_1\zeta_2)^2 + H.C.) \\ & + \mu_2^2\zeta^\star_2\zeta_2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2}(\zeta^\star_2\zeta_2)^2 \frac{\mu_{12}}{2}\sum_i(\zeta_{1i}\zeta_{1i}\zeta^\star_2 + H.C.) \\ & + \sum_i \lambda_{H1}\Phi^\dagger\Phi\zeta^\star_{1i}\zeta_{1i} + \lambda_{H2}\Phi^\dagger\Phi\zeta^\star_2\zeta_2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

where μ_{12} can be taken to be by rotating the relative phase between ζ_{1i} and ζ_2, and $\omega = e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}$ (note that ω^k terms are modulo 3). If the $\Phi - \zeta_1$ and $\zeta_2 - \zeta_1$ mixing is ignored, then minimizing the potential for ζ_{11} yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\zeta^\star_{11}}\frac{\partial V}{\partial \zeta_{11}} = {} & \mu_1^2 + \lambda_{1_0}\Sigma_i(v_{1i}^2) + \lambda_{1_1}(v_{11}^2 + \omega^2 v_{12}^2 + \omega v_{13}^2)(v_{11}^2 + \omega v_{12}^2 + \omega^2 v_{13}^2) \\ & + \lambda_{13}v_{11}(v_{12}^2 + v_{13}^2) + \lambda_{13'}v_{11}(v_{12}^2 + v_{13}^2) \end{aligned}, \tag{2.23}$$

with similar terms for ζ_{12} and ζ_{13}. These constraints are met given

$$v_{11} = v_{12} = v_{13} = v_1 = \sqrt{\frac{-\mu_1}{3\lambda_{1_0} + 2\lambda_{13} + 2\lambda_{13'}}}, \tag{2.24}$$

and since both Φ and ζ_2 are trivial T' singlets the corrections to Eq. 2.24 will be equal for all ζ_{1i}, so this minimization condition can be satisfied even with the mixing terms if all ζ_{1i}

VEVs are equal. With $v_{11} = v_{12} = v_{13} = v_1$ and $\zeta_{11} = \zeta_{12} = \zeta_{13} = \zeta_1$ the full constraint equations are

$$0 = \mu_H^2 + \lambda_H v^2 + 3\lambda_{H1} v_1^2 + \lambda_{H2} v_2^2 \tag{2.25}$$

$$0 = \mu_1^2 + 3\lambda_{1_0} v_1^2 + 2(\lambda_{13} + \lambda_{13'}) v_1^2 + \mu_{12} v_2 + \lambda_{H1} v^2 + \lambda_{12} v_2^2 \tag{2.26}$$

$$0 = \mu_2^2 + \lambda_2 v_2^2 + \frac{3}{2}\mu_{12}\frac{v_1^2}{v_2} + \lambda_{H2} v^2 + 3\lambda_{12} v_1^2. \tag{2.27}$$

The resulting mass matrix for h,$\sqrt{2}Re(\zeta_1)$, and $\sqrt{2}Re(\zeta_2)$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda_H v^2 & 6\lambda_{H1} v v_1 & 2\lambda_{H2} v v_2 \\ 6\lambda_{H1} v v_1 & 6(\lambda_1 + 2(\lambda_{13} + \lambda_{13'})) v_1^2 & 3(2\lambda_2 v_2 + \mu_{12}) v_1 \\ 2\lambda_{H2} v v_2 & 3(2\lambda_2 v_2 + \mu_{12}) v_1 & 2\lambda_2 v_2^2 - \frac{3}{2}\mu_{12}\frac{v_1^2}{v_2} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.28}$$

In general, there is mixing between the ζ_1 and ζ_2 scalars, however for simplicity we take the term $3(\lambda_2 v_2 + \mu_{12}) v_1$ to be negligible, and $v^2 \ll v_{1,2}^2$ so that $\phi^{\pm}$, and $\sqrt{2}Im(\phi^0)$ become the longitudinal components of the $W^{\pm}$ and Z gauge bosons, and h is the 125 GeV SM Higgs (note that since v_2 is responsible for the $\mathcal{T}_{R,L}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{R,L}$ masses that the assumption that $v_2^2 \gg v^2$ is necessary for the masses of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ to be much larger than the SM quarks). The mass matrix for $\sqrt{2}Im(\zeta_{1,2})$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -6\mu_{12} v_2 & 3\mu_{12} v_1 \\ 3\mu_{12} v_1 & -\frac{3\mu_{12} v_1^2}{2v_2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.29}$$

which is diagonal for the linear combinations of $\sqrt{2}(v_1 Im(\zeta_1) + 2v_2 Im(\zeta_2))/\sqrt{v_1^2 + 4v_2^2}$, which is massless and becomes the longitudinal mode for the Z' boson, and $\sqrt{2}(v_1 Im(\zeta_2) - 2v_2 Im(\zeta_1))/\sqrt{v_1^2 + 4v_2^2}$ which becomes the massive pseudoscalar particle A. The physical scalar masses are thus

$$m_h^2 \approx 2v^2 \left(\lambda_H - \frac{3\lambda_{H1}^2}{2(\lambda_{13} + \lambda_{13'})} - 2\frac{v_2^2 \lambda_{H2}^2}{\lambda_2 v_2^2 - \frac{3}{2}\mu_{12}\frac{v_1^2}{v_2}} \right), \tag{2.30}$$

$$m_{\zeta_{1R}}^2 \approx 6v_1^2(\lambda_{1_0} + 2(\lambda_{13} + \lambda_{13'})),\ m_{\zeta_{2R}}^2 \approx v_2^2(\lambda_2 - \frac{3}{2}\mu_{12}\frac{v_1^2}{v_2^3}),\ m_A^2 \approx -\frac{3\mu_{12}(v_1^2 + 4v_2^2)}{2v_2}. \tag{2.31}$$

Many of the fermions with $U(1)_D$ charge are chiral, and have no Yukawa couplings at tree-level to ζ_{1i} (or ζ_2) with the exception of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, which are given by

$$m_{\mathcal{T}} = v_2 y_{\mathcal{T}},\ m_{\mathcal{B}} = v_2 y_{\mathcal{B}}, \tag{2.32}$$

thus for Yukawa couplings on order of one, and $v_2 \gg v$, these vector-like quark masses can be large.

The mass eigenvalues from $\rho - \sigma$ and $\eta - \chi$ are given by

$$m_{y^0_{1,2}} = \frac{1}{2}\left[3m_\rho^2 + m_\sigma^2 + \cos(2\theta_y)(\pm m_\rho^2 \mp m_\sigma^2) \pm 6vv_1\lambda_q \sin(2\theta_y)\right] \tag{2.33}$$

$$m_{y^\pm} = \frac{1}{2}m_\rho^2 \tag{2.34}$$

$$m^2_{x^\pm_{1,2}} = \frac{1}{2}\left[m_\eta^2 + m_\chi^2 + \cos(2\theta_x)(\pm m_\eta^2 \mp m_\chi^2) + 2v\lambda_l \sin(2\theta_x)\right] \tag{2.35}$$

$$m_{x^0} = \frac{1}{2}m_\eta^2, \tag{2.36}$$

where $\tan(2\theta_x) = \frac{2v\lambda_l}{m_\chi^2 - m_\eta^2}$, $\tan(2\theta_y) = \frac{2vv_1\lambda_q}{m_\sigma^2 - m_\rho^2}$, and there are three copies of $y^\pm$ that are nearly degenerate.

2.4 Dark Gauge Sector

The relevant Lagrangian terms for the Z' gauge boson are

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{4}Z'^{\mu\nu}Z'_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\sin(\kappa)}{2}Z'^{\mu\nu}B_{\mu\nu} + (D_\mu\zeta_{1i})^\dagger(D^\mu\zeta_{1i}) + (D_\mu\zeta_2)^\dagger(D^\mu\zeta_2) - V, \tag{2.37}$$

where D_μ is the covariant derivative ($D_\mu = \partial_\mu + iq_D g_\zeta Z'_\mu$) and V is the scalar potential from Eq. 2.22. Expanding ζ_{1i} and ζ_2 under the assumptions in the previous section, yields

$$m^2_{Z'} \approx g_\zeta^2(3v_1^2 + 4v_2^2), \tag{2.38}$$

and where the $\zeta Z'Z'$ term becomes

$$2g_\zeta^2(3Re(\zeta_1)v_1 + 4Re(\zeta_2)v_2)Z'_\mu Z'^\mu, \tag{2.39}$$

and the $\zeta\zeta Z'Z'$ becomes

$$g_\zeta^2(3Re(\zeta_1)^2 + 4Re(\zeta_2)^2)Z'_\mu Z'^\mu \tag{2.40}$$

The structure of the $U(1)_D$ charges makes this model very similar to leptophobic Z' models since the only tree-level coupling to the SM is to quarks. There is no tree-level kinetic mixing term, however such a term can arise at the loop-level due to the coupling to quarks which generates the κ term, and so there is some non-zero coupling to leptons. This kinetic

mixing can have an important impact on the dark sector constraints [56, 57], though for the purposes of this study the mixing is taken to be negligible.

There are some notable differences in this model compared to many common Z' models, namely the top and bottom quarks do not directly couple to the Z' so collider searches of the form $pp \to Z' \to t\bar{t}$ do not apply. Mixing contraints involving the b quark do not occur at tree-level, and the first two up-like quarks carry opposite charges to the first two down-like quarks. There are additional considerations as leptophobic Z' models generically produce FCNCs in the RH quark decays [58, 59] which can be heavily constraining. However, $K^0 - \overline{K}^0$ and $B^0 - \overline{B}^0$ are less important since the LH quarks do not carry dark charge [60, 61]. Previous studies of Z' with only RH coupling to quarks have been carried out, and indicate that a Z' with a mass on order of 1 TeV is still viable for certain mixing constraints, particularly in a case with a $U(2)$ flavor symmetry [62] which can be accomodated by the T' horizontal symmetry [63]. There are also additional constraints on leptophobic models from the early LHC searches (cf Ref. [64, 65]), and from the 13 TeV run [66–68], however there is still a viable range of parameter space for Z' models with a $g_\zeta \approx 0.1$ and masses between 1 TeV $< m_{Z'} <$ 1.5 TeV. Another interesting possibility is if the coupling constant $g_\zeta \ll 1$, which allows for much lighter Z''s (albeit very weakly interacting) [69]. The addition of vector-like quarks that couple to the Z' are another source of FCNC, however mixing of $\mathcal{T} - t$ and $\mathcal{B} - b$ are forbidden at tree-level from the flavor Z_2 assignment. If neither the horizontal or the Z_2 flavor symmetry are broken by any hard terms, then the RH coupling to the Z and Z' are fixed, and so it is reasonable to assume the RH quark mixing to be exactly diagonal at first order which eliminates FCNCs in the gauge sector. Some amount of FCNC are unavoidable in the scalar sector but these are severely restricted by the additional symmetries, that is the gauge and T' assignments prevent tree-level FCNCs and the soft-breaking terms of T' are in the fermion sector. However, there are still contributions to the FCNCs from box and penguin diagrams. For instance, the largest source of FCNCs in the box diagrams are from the charged scalar ρ and the

vector-like quarks $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{D}$, which generate $K^0 - \overline{K^0}$ mixing through the dimension six $(\bar{s}_R d_L)(\bar{s_L} d_R)$ operator which can be estimated as

$$\frac{c}{\Lambda^2} \approx \frac{\delta^2}{32\pi^2(4m_{13}^2+\delta^2)} \frac{f_{qL}^2 f_{qR}^2 (m_{y'}^2 - m_y^2)}{(m_{\mathcal{V}_i}^2 - m_{y'}^2)(m_{\mathcal{V}_j}^2 - m_y^2)} \approx \frac{10^{-7}\Delta m_{yy'}^2}{\Delta m_{iy'}^2 \Delta m_{jy}^2}, \tag{2.41}$$

where δ and m_{13} are from the $\theta_{\mathcal{V}}$ mixing angle, m_y ($m_{y'}$) are the masses of the $\rho - \sigma$ mixing and $m_{\mathcal{V}_{i,j}}$ are components of the appropriate vector-like T' doublets, with the assumption that the couplings $f_{qL,R} \sim 0.1$. The $\Delta m_{yy'}^2$ term is constrained by the oblique parameters and other electroweak precision tests, whereas Δm_{iy}^2 can be quite large, and so the overall contribution to FCNCs will in general be below existing upper-limits [70]. This suppression is a general feature of the box diagrams in this model, and so similar expressions can apply to different dimension six FCNC operators. There are also penguin diagram contributions, though the loop structure is similar to the one-loop diagrams that generate the up and down-like quark masses from Fig. 2. Since these loops involve the vector-like quarks and the doublets ρ_i, the contribution to the leptonic FCNCs decays, such as $B_s^0 \to l^{\pm} l^{\mp}$, will primarily arise from Z' kinetic mixing term which is small. That is, while $\eta - \chi$ mixing can introduce some leptonic FCNC terms, there is no tree-level $\rho - \eta$ mixing which means there is no direct connection between the additional scalars that couple to quarks and the scalars that couple to leptons.

2.5 Dark Matter

The dark sector is potentially very complicated: in the dark-charged sector the DM candidate is the lightest mass state of any of the neutral scalars (η^0, ρ_i^0, and σ^0) or the lightest neutral fermions N_i, and for the dark-parity-only sector it is potentially the lightest neutral fermion (E^0 of F^0) or s_i. However, there are numerous constraints on scalar DM particles that interact with the electroweak (EW) gauge bosons, so to avoid these we set the mass spectrum such that N_1 and s_1 are the only DM candidates. The main interactions relevant for the relic density are show in Fig. 5 for the fermionic DM candidate, and in Fig. 6 for the scalar DM candidates. Even in this framework however, there are several major scenarios:

either N or s are the bulk of the cosmological DM or each species significantly contributes to the cosmological DM. Before any further assumptions of masses are made, the relevant SM-DM couplings are given in the mass basis by

$$f'_{lL}\left[\overline{e}_L N_{1R}(\cos(\theta_x)x_1^- - \sin(\theta_x)x_2^-) + \overline{\nu}_L N_R x^0\right], \tag{2.42}$$

$$f'_{lR}\left[\overline{e}_R N_{1L}(\cos(\theta_x)x_2^- + \sin(\theta_x)x_1^-) + \overline{\nu}_L N_{1R} x^0\right], \tag{2.43}$$

$$f_s s_1(\overline{e}_L E_R^+ + \overline{\nu}_L E_R^0), \tag{2.44}$$

and

$$f_{NE}(\cos(\theta_y)y_1^0 - \sin(\theta_y)y_2^0)\overline{N}_{1,2,3}E_R^0. \tag{2.45}$$

There are potential collider constraints on the masses of the scalars, and of the vector-like fermions, however masses on order of a few hundred GeV for the scalars and on order of 500 GeV can be sufficient to avoid these constraints [17, 71]. An interesting consequence of the proposed model is the existence of a particular mass scheme where the scalar DM species mass is on order of hundreds of MeV (the minimal Ma model can also accomodate MeV DM [72]), but because of the multiple component nature the relic density constraints can still be met with the fermionic dark matter on order of 100 GeV. However, in this mass range there are extra constraints that need to be considered. In particular the Higgs invisible width contribution from the $h \to ss$ is an important constraint, where the partial-width is given by

$$\Gamma_{h\to ss} = \frac{v^2\lambda_{sh}^2\sqrt{m_h^2(m_h^2 - 4m_s^2}}{32\pi m_h^4}, \tag{2.46}$$

which yields $\Gamma_{h\to ss}/\Gamma_h \approx 0.07$ for $m_s \approx 100$ MeV and $\lambda_{sh} = 0.01$. Additionally, there are potential contraints from $ss \to LL$ via the t-channel exchange of $E_{R,L}$ as well as Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) constraints for such light DM [73]. In this mass range the scalar DM species only represents approximately one third of the total cosmological DM, for a particular set of masses and couplings, which reduces constraints on the annihilation cross section of DM. Unlike other models with sub-GeV DM the Z' and the DM scalar DM species

have couplings to SM particles and cannot avoid BBN constraints [74], and so results from Planck on the annihilation cross-section rule out this particular mass scheme [75].



Figure 5: Relevant diagrams for NN annihilation contribution to $\langle\sigma v\rangle$.



Figure 6: Relevant diagrams for ss annihilation contribution to $\langle\sigma v\rangle$.

However, there are three additional mass schemes that produce thermal relic DM candidates in a mass range that can avoid the constraints from Planck:

- **Model A:** $m_{E^0} = 455$ GeV, $m_{E^{\pm}} = 450$ GeV, $m_{F^0} = 600$ GeV, $m_{x_1^{\pm}} = 646$ GeV, $m_{x_2^{\pm}} = 654$ GeV, $m_{x^0} = 650$ GeV, $m_{y^{\pm}} = 247$ GeV, $m_{y_1^0} = 250$ GeV, $m_{y_2^0} = 252$ GeV, with $g_\zeta = 0.1$ and $m_{Z'} = 1200$ GeV.

- **Model B:** $m_{E^0} = 455$ GeV, $m_{E^{\pm}} = 450$ GeV, $m_{F^0} = 600$ GeV, $m_{x_1^{\pm}} = 646$ GeV, $m_{x_2^{\pm}} = 654$ GeV, $m_{x^0} = 650$ GeV, $m_{y^{\pm}} = 247$ GeV, $m_{y_1^0} = 250$ GeV, $m_{y_2^0} = 252$ GeV, with $g_\zeta = 0.00033$ and $m_{Z'} = 2.7$ GeV, $m_s = 100$ GeV, $m_{N_1} = 70$ GeV.

- **Model C:** $m_{E^0} = 850$ GeV, $m_{E^\pm} = 825$ GeV, $m_{F^0} = 600$ GeV, $m_{x_1^\pm} = 998$ GeV, $m_{x_2^\pm} = 1006$ GeV, $m_{x^0} = 1002$ GeV, $m_{y^\pm} = 646$ GeV, $m_{y_1^0} = 650$ GeV, $m_{y_2^0} = 654$ GeV, with $g_\zeta = 0.0025$ and $m_{Z'} = 20$ GeV, $m_s = 150$ GeV, $m_{N_1} = 9$ GeV.

In each of these models the rest of the particle content is taken to be on the order of a TeV. In order to determine relic denisty and direct detection constraints on the spin-independent (SI) cross section in an automated way, the relevant Lagrangian terms are implemented using FeynRules [76] in order to generate model files to use with MicrOmegas [77]. For the numerical analysis the relic density is allowed to vary:

$$0.11 \lesssim \Omega h^2 \lesssim 0.13. \tag{2.47}$$

For direct detection the LUX 2016 and PandaX direct detection limits on the SI cross section are used (where the reported limit is on order of 4 times stronger than LUX 2015) [78–80]. However, since this model is potentially multi-component, the direct detection constraints are fitted to the SI cross section modified by the proportion of the total relic density that each species contributes to the overall cosmological relic density for that particular mass [81].

Model A: The dark matter masses are scanned over for the case where $f_s = 0.22$, $f_{lL} = f_{lR} = 0.7$, and $\lambda_{sH} = 0.01$ and displayed in the $m_s - m_N$ mass plane in Fig. 7. Even taking into account the latest LUX/PandaX constraints on the SI cross section, significant regions of the thermal relic DM parameter space survive.

Model B/C: For these models a full numerical scan was not performed, however, both are able to fit the relic density range from Eq. 2.47 and are below the SI cross section upper-bound from LUX/PanadaX, and for the mass choices are able to avoid the constraints on the annihilation cross section from Planck. These parameter spaces are of potential interest for the existence of their relatively light Z' and, in Model C's case, their relatively heavy scalar masses.



Figure 7: Scan of Model A mass scheme in $m_s - m_N$ plane, where plotted points produce a DM relic density between $0.11 \lesssim \Omega_0 h^2 \lesssim 0.13$, are lower than the bound on σ_{SI} from LUX 2016/PandaX, and the region where the DM candidates meet both constraints.

2.6 Collider Signatures

The proposed model has additional EW states, an additional gauge boson, and additional heavy colored states any of which could produce a novel collider signature. However, new EW scalar states that do not mix with the Higgs (ie inert or dark scalars) may be challenging to find at a hadron collider [17], however the phenomneology of the $x^{\pm}_{1,2}$ states are essentially identical to previously studied models and so the primary source of potential collider signatures at the LHC are from the vector-like quarks or the Z'. The $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ vector-like quarks can be pair produced at the LHC, and their subsequent decay chain is to a quark, a lepton, and both species of DM, where the flavor of the lepton species will be fixed by the horizontal symmetry, however, this decay chain involves multiple mediators which can reduce the signal and complicate the analysis. An alternative signature is the production and decay of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, which decays to a top or bottom quark respectively, and $x^{\pm}$ which subsequently decays to a neutral fermion N_i and a charged lepton as shown in Fig. 8. Thus, if these vector-like quarks are the lightest colored-states, then the primary collider searches for colored particles in this model are to 2 bottom (top) quarks, 2 leptons, and missing energy, in contradistinction to the typical vector-like

quark searches [82]. Addtionally, the Z' can be searched for at the LHC through dijet signatures [65, 83], assuming the mass and coupling choices of Model A. Future precision measurement of the Higgs coupling may also be able to rule out this model from either a more precise measurement of the invisible branching fraction [84] or from deviations in various Higgs boson couplings that occur in scotogenic models generally [55].



Figure 8: Pair production from gluons of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{B})$ and subsequent decay to SM particles and the fermionic DM species (N_1).

3 Conclusion

In this work a scotogenic model of neutrino and charged lepton masses was extended to generate the first two generations of quark masses through their interaction with vector-like quarks. Additionally, the binary tetrahedral symmetry T', in lieu of A_4, is utilized for the first time in the scotogenic framework. Using a particular T' assignment which is softly-broken by the vector-like quark mass terms to a residual Z_4, the model is found to produce a mixing angle close to the Cabibbo angle. The quark masses are generated through their interaction with the additional vector-like quarks, which carry both dark charge and are odd under an exactly conserved Z_2. The first and second generation of quarks are allowed to transform under the $U(1)_D$, which necessitates the addition of T' singlets that are chiral under the dark gauge, but vector-like under the SM gauge group, in order to cancel anomalies. The model thus has a leptophobic Z', and two DM candidates (one scalar s and one fermion N), and is found to successfully fit the relic density, the constraints on the annihilation cross section from Planck, and evade the latest SI cross section limits from the direct detection experiments LUX and PandaX. In addition, the decays of the vector-like quarks $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are shown to produce a very interesting signature which could be found at colliders in the future with an interesting final state when compared to existing vector-like quark models being investigated at the LHC.

Acknowledgments I am grateful to Anthony DiFranzo, Kiel Howe, Bithika Jain, Corey Kowanacki, Pyungwon Ko, Takaaki Nomura, Yusuke Shimizu and Peiwen Wu for critical discussions that made this work possible.

References

[1] **IceCube** Collaboration, M. G. Aartsen *et al.*, "Observation of the cosmic-ray shadow of the Moon with IceCube," *Phys. Rev.* **D89** no. 10, (2014) 102004, arXiv:1305.6811 [astro-ph.HE].

[2] **SNO** Collaboration, B. Aharmim *et al.*, "Combined Analysis of all Three Phases of Solar Neutrino Data from the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory," *Phys. Rev.* **C88** (2013) 025501, arXiv:1109.0763 [nucl-ex].

[3] **Super-Kamiokande** Collaboration, D. W. Liu *et al.*, "Limits on the neutrino magnetic moment using 1496 days of Super-Kamiokande-I solar neutrino data," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** (2004) 021802, arXiv:hep-ex/0402015 [hep-ex].

[4] **KamLAND** Collaboration, A. Gando *et al.*, "Constraints on θ_{13} from A Three-Flavor Oscillation Analysis of Reactor Antineutrinos at KamLAND," *Phys. Rev.* **D83** (2011) 052002, arXiv:1009.4771 [hep-ex].

[5] **T2K** Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, "The T2K Experiment," *Nucl. Instrum. Meth.* **A659** (2011) 106–135, arXiv:1106.1238 [physics.ins-det].

[6] **K2K** Collaboration, M. H. Ahn *et al.*, "Measurement of Neutrino Oscillation by the K2K Experiment," *Phys. Rev.* **D74** (2006) 072003, arXiv:hep-ex/0606032 [hep-ex].

[7] **Kamiokande-II** Collaboration, K. S. Hirata *et al.*, "Experimental Study of the Atmospheric Neutrino Flux," *Phys. Lett.* **B205** (1988) 416. [,447(1988)].

[8] **Super-Kamiokande** Collaboration, Y. Fukuda *et al.*, "Evidence for oscillation of atmospheric neutrinos," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81** (1998) 1562–1567, arXiv:hep-ex/9807003 [hep-ex].

[9] **Double Chooz** Collaboration, Y. Abe *et al.*, "Indication for the disappearance of reactor electron antineutrinos in the Double Chooz experiment," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 131801, arXiv:1112.6353 [hep-ex].

[10] G. Bertone, D. Hooper, and J. Silk, "Particle dark matter: Evidence, candidates, and constraints," *Phys.Rept.* **405** (2005) 279–390, hep-ph/0404175 [hep-ph].

[11] **WMAP** Collaboration, C. L. Bennett *et al.*, "Nine-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Final Maps and Results," *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **208** (2013) 20, arXiv:1212.5225 [astro-ph.CO].

[12] **Planck** Collaboration, P. A. R. Ade *et al.*, "Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters," *Astron. Astrophys.* **594** (2016) A13, arXiv:1502.01589 [astro-ph.CO].

[13] E. Ma, "Verifiable radiative seesaw mechanism of neutrino mass and dark matter," *Phys. Rev.* **D73** (2006) 077301, arXiv:hep-ph/0601225 [hep-ph].

[14] A. Zee, "A Theory of Lepton Number Violation, Neutrino Majorana Mass, and Oscillation," *Phys. Lett.* **B93** (1980) 389. [Erratum: Phys. Lett.B95,461(1980)].

[15] L. M. Krauss, S. Nasri, and M. Trodden, "A Model for neutrino masses and dark matter," *Phys. Rev.* **D67** (2003) 085002, arXiv:hep-ph/0210389 [hep-ph].

[16] E. Ma, "Syndetic Model of Fundamental Interactions," *Phys. Lett.* **B741** (2015) 202–204, arXiv:1411.6679 [hep-ph].

[17] S. Bhattacharya, E. Ma, A. Natale, and A. Rashed, "Radiative Scaling Neutrino Mass with A_4 Symmetry," *Phys. Rev.* **D87** (2013) 097301, arXiv:1302.6266 [hep-ph].

[18] E. Ma and A. Natale, "Dark Matter with Flavor Symmetry and its Collider Signature," *Phys. Lett.* **B740** (2015) 80–82, arXiv:1410.2902 [hep-ph].

[19] S. Fraser, E. Ma, and M. Zakeri, "Verifiable Associated Processes from Radiative Lepton Masses with Dark Matter," *Phys. Rev.* **D93** no. 11, (2016) 115019, arXiv:1511.07458 [hep-ph].

[20] T. Nomura and H. Okada, "Radiatively induced Quark and Lepton Mass Model," arXiv:1606.09055 [hep-ph].

[21] T. Nomura and H. Okada, "An Extended Colored Zee-Babu Model," arXiv:1607.04952 [hep-ph].

[22] S. F. King and C. Luhn, "Neutrino Mass and Mixing with Discrete Symmetry," *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **76** (2013) 056201, arXiv:1301.1340 [hep-ph].

[23] H. Ishimori, T. Kobayashi, H. Ohki, H. Okada, Y. Shimizu, and M. Tanimoto, "Non-Abelian Discrete Symmetries in Particle Physics," *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **183** (2010) 1–163, arXiv:1003.3552 [hep-ph].

[24] **RENO** Collaboration, J. Ahn *et al.*, "Observation of Reactor Electron Antineutrino Disappearance in the RENO Experiment," *Phys. Rev. Let.*. **108** (2012) 191802, arXiv:1204.0626 [hep-ex].

[25] **DAYA-BAY** Collaboration, F. An *et al.*, "Observation of electron-antineutrino disappearance at Daya Bay," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 171803, arXiv:1203.1669 [hep-ex].

[26] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, "Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC," *Phys. Lett.* **B716** (2012) 1–29, arXiv:1207.7214 [hep-ex].

[27] **CMS** Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan *et al.*, "Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC," *Phys. Lett.* **B716** (2012) 30–61, arXiv:1207.7235 [hep-ex].

[28] E. Ma, "Soft $A_4 \to Z_3$ symmetry breaking and cobimaximal neutrino mixing," *Phys. Lett.* **B755** (2016) 348–350, arXiv:1601.00138 [hep-ph].

[29] **NOvA** Collaboration, P. Adamson *et al.*, "First measurement of muon-neutrino disappearance in NOvA," *Phys. Rev.* **D93** no. 5, (2016) 051104, arXiv:1601.05037 [hep-ex].

[30] A. Timmons, "The Results of MINOS and the Future with MINOS+," *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2016** (2016) 7064960, arXiv:1511.06178 [hep-ex].

[31] **IceCube** Collaboration, J. P. A. M. de Andr, "Latest results on atmospheric neutrino oscillations from IceCube/DeepCore," *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **718** no. 6, (2016) 062001.

[32] **T2K** Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, "Measurement of Muon Antineutrino Oscillations with an Accelerator-Produced Off-Axis Beam," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** no. 18, (2016) 181801, arXiv:1512.02495 [hep-ex].

[33] G. Altarelli and F. Feruglio, "Tri-bimaximal neutrino mixing from discrete symmetry in extra dimensions," *Nucl. Phys.* **B720** (2005) 64–88, arXiv:hep-ph/0504165 [hep-ph].

[34] E. Ma, "Neutrino Mixing: A_4 Variations," *Phys. Lett.* **B752** (2016) 198–200, arXiv:1510.02501 [hep-ph].

[35] C.-C. Li, J.-N. Lu, and G.-J. Ding, "A_4 and CP symmetry and a model with maximal CP violation," arXiv:1608.01860 [hep-ph].

[36] S.-F. Ge, "Unifying Residual $\mathbb{Z}_2^{23} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2^{12}$ Symmetries and Quark-Lepton Complementarity," arXiv:1406.1985 [hep-ph].

[37] I. de Medeiros Varzielas, R. W. Rasmussen, and J. Talbert, "Bottom-Up Discrete Symmetries for Cabibbo Mixing," arXiv:1605.03581 [hep-ph].

[38] P. H. Frampton and T. W. Kephart, "Simple nonAbelian finite flavor groups and fermion masses," *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A10** (1995) 4689–4704, arXiv:hep-ph/9409330 [hep-ph].

[39] P. H. Frampton and T. W. Kephart, "Flavor Symmetry for Quarks and Leptons," *JHEP* **09** (2007) 110, arXiv:0706.1186 [hep-ph].

[40] F. Feruglio, C. Hagedorn, Y. Lin, and L. Merlo, "Tri-bimaximal Neutrino Mixing and Quark Masses from a Discrete Flavour Symmetry," *Nucl. Phys.* **B775** (2007) 120–142, arXiv:hep-ph/0702194 [hep-ph]. [Erratum: Nucl. Phys.B836,127(2010)].

[41] P. H. Frampton, T. W. Kephart, and S. Matsuzaki, "Simplified Renormalizable T-prime Model for Tribimaximal Mixing and Cabibbo Angle," *Phys. Rev.* **D78** (2008) 073004, arXiv:0807.4713 [hep-ph].

[42] D. A. Eby, P. H. Frampton, and S. Matsuzaki, "Predictions of Neutrino Mixing Angles in a T-prime Model," *Phys. Lett.* **B671** (2009) 386–390, arXiv:0810.4899 [hep-ph].

[43] G.-J. Ding, "Fermion Mass Hierarchies and Flavor Mixing from T-prime Symmetry," *Phys. Rev.* **D78** (2008) 036011, arXiv:0803.2278 [hep-ph].

[44] P. H. Frampton and S. Matsuzaki, "T-prime Predictions of PMNS and CKM Angles," *Phys. Lett.* **B679** (2009) 347–349, arXiv:0902.1140 [hep-ph].

[45] P. H. Frampton, "T-prime and the Cabibbo Angle," *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A24** (2009) 3359–3365, arXiv:0903.5228 [hep-ph].

[46] P. H. Frampton, C. M. Ho, T. W. Kephart, and S. Matsuzaki, "LHC Higgs Production and Decay in the T' Model," *Phys. Rev.* **D82** (2010) 113007, arXiv:1009.0307 [hep-ph].

[47] D. A. Eby, P. H. Frampton, X.-G. He, and T. W. Kephart, "Quartification with T' Flavor," *Phys. Rev.* **D84** (2011) 037302, arXiv:1103.5737 [hep-ph].

[48] D. A. Eby and P. H. Frampton, "Nonzero θ_{13} signals nonmaximal atmospheric neutrino mixing," *Phys. Rev.* **D86** (2012) 117304, arXiv:1112.2675 [hep-ph].

[49] D. A. Eby and P. H. Frampton, "Dark Matter from Binary Tetrahedral Flavor Symmetry," *Phys. Lett.* **B713** (2012) 249–254, arXiv:1111.4938 [hep-ph].

[50] H. Ishimori, T. Kobayashi, H. Ohki, Y. Shimizu, H. Okada, and M. Tanimoto, "Non-Abelian Discrete Symmetries in Particle Physics," *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **183** (2010) 1–163, arXiv:1003.3552 [hep-th].

[51] M.-C. Chen, M. Fallbacher, K. T. Mahanthappa, M. Ratz, and A. Trautner, "CP Violation from Finite Groups," *Nucl. Phys.* **B883** (2014) 267–305, arXiv:1402.0507 [hep-ph].

[52] B. A. Dobrescu, "Leptophobic Boson Signals with Leptons, Jets and Missing Energy," arXiv:1506.04435 [hep-ph].

[53] C. Kownacki and E. Ma, "Gauge $U(1)$ dark symmetry and radiative light fermion masses," *Phys. Lett.* **B760** (2016) 59–62, arXiv:1604.01148 [hep-ph].

[54] E. Ma, A. Natale, and O. Popov, "Neutrino Mixing and CP Phase Correlations," *Phys. Lett.* **B746** (2015) 114–116, arXiv:1502.08023 [hep-ph].

[55] S. Fraser and E. Ma, "Anomalous Higgs Yukawa Couplings," *Europhys. Lett.* **108** (2014) 11002, arXiv:1402.6415 [hep-ph].

[56] N. F. Bell, Y. Cai, and R. K. Leane, "Dark Forces in the Sky: Signals from Z' and the Dark Higgs," *JCAP* **1608** no. 08, (2016) 001, arXiv:1605.09382 [hep-ph].

[57] M. Duerr, F. Kahlhoefer, K. Schmidt-Hoberg, T. Schwetz, and S. Vogl, "How to save the WIMP: global analysis of a dark matter model with two s-channel mediators," arXiv:1606.07609 [hep-ph].

[58] V. Barger, C.-W. Chiang, P. Langacker, and H.-S. Lee, "Z' mediated flavor changing neutral currents in B meson decays," *Phys. Lett.* **B580** (2004) 186–196, arXiv:hep-ph/0310073 [hep-ph].

[59] M. Gonzlez-Alonso and M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, "Leptophobic Z' Boson and Parity-Violating eD Scattering," *Phys. Rev.* **D87** no. 5, (2013) 055013, arXiv:1211.4581 [hep-ph].

[60] S. Baek, J. H. Jeon, and C. S. Kim, "B0(s) - anti-B0(s) Mixing in Leptophobic Z-prime Model," *Phys. Lett.* **B641** (2006) 183–188, arXiv:hep-ph/0607113 [hep-ph].

[61] K. Leroux and D. London, "Flavor changing neutral currents and leptophobic Z' gauge bosons," *Phys. Lett.* **B526** (2002) 97–103, arXiv:hep-ph/0111246 [hep-ph].

[62] A. J. Buras, F. De Fazio, and J. Girrbach, "The Anatomy of Z' and Z with Flavour Changing Neutral Currents in the Flavour Precision Era," *JHEP* **02** (2013) 116, arXiv:1211.1896 [hep-ph].

[63] A. Aranda, C. D. Carone, and R. F. Lebed, "U(2) flavor physics without U(2) symmetry," *Phys. Lett.* **B474** (2000) 170–176, arXiv:hep-ph/9910392 [hep-ph].

[64] A. Alves, S. Profumo, and F. S. Queiroz, "The dark $Z^{'}$ portal: direct, indirect and collider searches," *JHEP* **04** (2014) 063, arXiv:1312.5281 [hep-ph].

[65] M. Fairbairn, J. Heal, F. Kahlhoefer, and P. Tunney, "Constraints on Z' models from LHC dijet searches," arXiv:1605.07940 [hep-ph].

[66] **ATLAS Collaboration** Collaboration, "Search for light dijet resonances with the ATLAS detector using a Trigger-Level Analysis in LHC pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV," Tech. Rep. ATLAS-CONF-2016-030, CERN, Geneva, Jun, 2016. http://cds.cern.ch/record/2161135.

[67] **ATLAS Collaboration** Collaboration, "Search for New Phenomena in Dijet Events with the ATLAS Detector at $\sqrt{s}$=13 TeV with 2015 and 2016 data," Tech. Rep. ATLAS-CONF-2016-069, CERN, Geneva, Aug, 2016. http://cds.cern.ch/record/2206212.

[68] **ATLAS Collaboration** Collaboration, "Search for new light resonances decaying to jet pairs and produced in association with a photon in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector," Tech. Rep. ATLAS-CONF-2016-029, CERN, Geneva, Jun, 2016. http://cds.cern.ch/record/2161134.

[69] P. Gondolo, P. Ko, and Y. Omura, "Light dark matter in leptophobic Z' models," *Phys. Rev.* **D85** (2012) 035022, arXiv:1106.0885 [hep-ph].

[70] G. Isidori and F. Teubert, "Status of indirect searches for New Physics with heavy flavour decays after the initial LHC run," *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **129** no. 3, (2014) 40, arXiv:1402.2844 [hep-ph].

[71] R. Dermisek, J. P. Hall, E. Lunghi, and S. Shin, "Limits on Vectorlike Leptons from Searches for Anomalous Production of Multi-Lepton Events," *JHEP* **12** (2014) 013, arXiv:1408.3123 [hep-ph].

[72] A. Arhrib, C. Bhm, E. Ma, and T.-C. Yuan, "Radiative Model of Neutrino Mass with

Neutrino Interacting MeV Dark Matter," *JCAP* **1604** no. 04, (2016) 049, arXiv:1512.08796 [hep-ph].

[73] B. Henning and H. Murayama, "Constraints on Light Dark Matter from Big Bang Nucleosynthesis," arXiv:1205.6479 [hep-ph].

[74] E. Izaguirre, G. Krnjaic, P. Schuster, and N. Toro, "Analyzing the Discovery Potential for Light Dark Matter," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** no. 25, (2015) 251301, arXiv:1505.00011 [hep-ph].

[75] **Planck** Collaboration, P. A. R. Ade *et al.*, "Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters," arXiv:1502.01589 [astro-ph.CO].

[76] A. Alloul, N. D. Christensen, C. Degrande, C. Duhr, and B. Fuks, "FeynRules 2.0 - A complete toolbox for tree-level phenomenology," *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **185** (2014) 2250–2300, arXiv:1310.1921 [hep-ph].

[77] G. Blanger, F. Boudjema, A. Pukhov, and A. Semenov, "micrOMEGAs4.1: two dark matter candidates," *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **192** (2015) 322–329, arXiv:1407.6129 [hep-ph].

[78] **LUX** Collaboration, D. S. Akerib *et al.*, "First results from the LUX dark matter experiment at the Sanford Underground Research Facility," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 091303, arXiv:1310.8214 [astro-ph.CO].

[79] **LUX** Collaboration, D. S. Akerib *et al.*, "Improved Limits on Scattering of Weakly Interacting Massive Particles from Reanalysis of 2013 LUX Data," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** no. 16, (2016) 161301, arXiv:1512.03506 [astro-ph.CO].

[80] **PandaX-II** Collaboration, A. Tan *et al.*, "Dark Matter Results from First 98.7-day Data of PandaX-II Experiment," arXiv:1607.07400 [hep-ex].

[81] S. Bhattacharya, A. Drozd, B. Grzadkowski, and J. Wudka, "Two-Component Dark Matter," *JHEP* **10** (2013) 158, arXiv:1309.2986 [hep-ph].

[82] **ATLAS** Collaboration, T. A. collaboration, "Search for single production of vector-like quarks decaying into Wb in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector," ATLAS-CONF-2016-072.

[83] C.-W. Chiang, T. Nomura, and K. Yagyu, "Leptophobic Z in models with multiple Higgs doublet fields," *JHEP* **05** (2015) 127, arXiv:1502.00855 [hep-ph].

[84] P. Ko and H. Yokoya, "Search for Higgs portal DM at the ILC," arXiv:1603.04737 [hep-ph].